My laptop has 6% power, so i'm writing this from TTY and it doesn't have russian keyboard we're gonna be using:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle \alpha f, x \rangle = \alpha \left\langle f, x \right\rangle = \left\langle f, \alpha x \right\rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^* \\ \text{Let} \left\{ e_1, \dots, e_n \right\} \text{eb a basis in } \mathcal{L} \implies \forall x \in \mathcal{L} \quad x^j e_j; \\ \langle e^j, x \rangle := x^j, \ j \in \overline{1, n}. \\ \text{We must prove: } \langle e^j, \alpha^1 x_1 + \alpha^2 x_2 \rangle = \alpha_1 \left\langle e^j, x_1 \right\rangle + \alpha_2 \left\langle e^j, x_2 \right\rangle \\ x_1 = \alpha^1 x_1 + \alpha^2 x_2 = (\alpha^1 x_1 + \alpha^2 x_2)^j e_j = \alpha^1 x_1^j e_j + \alpha^1 x_2^j e_j = \left[(\alpha^1 x_1 + \alpha^2 x_2)^j - \alpha^1 x_1^j - \alpha^1 x_1^j \right] \cdot e_j = \theta \implies [] = 0 \implies \end{array}$

$$(\alpha^{1}x_{1} + \alpha^{2}x_{2})^{j} = \alpha^{1}x_{1}^{j} + \alpha^{2}x_{2}^{j}$$

 $(\alpha^1 x_2 + \alpha^2 x_2)^j = \langle e^j, \alpha^1 x_1 + \alpha^2 x_2 \rangle ; \ x_1^j = \langle e^j, x_1 \rangle ; \ x_2^j = \langle e^j, x_2 \rangle \implies \langle e^j, \alpha^1 x_2 + \alpha^2 x_2 \rangle = \alpha^1 \langle e^j, x_1 \rangle + \alpha^1 \langle e^j, x_2 \rangle$

Lemm 1.: $\{e^1,\ldots,e^n\}$ forms a basis in \mathcal{L}^*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fullness: } \langle f, x \rangle = \langle f, x^j e_j \rangle = x^j \langle f, e_j \rangle = \langle e^j, x \rangle \underbrace{\langle f, e_j \rangle}_{=\alpha} \\ \langle e^j, x \rangle \alpha = \langle \alpha e^j, x \rangle = \langle \langle f, e_j \rangle \cdot e^j, x \rangle \implies \forall x \in \mathcal{L} : f = \langle f, e_j \rangle e^j \text{ - we can} \\ & \text{Linear independence: } \langle e^j, e_k \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} e_k = 0e_1 + \dots + 1e_k + \dots + 0e_n = \delta_k^j e_j \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \alpha_j e^j, e_k \rangle = \langle \theta^*, e_k \rangle = 0 = \alpha_j \delta_k^j = \alpha_k, k \in \overline{1, k} \implies$ Linearly independant

Kinda important example: $\{P^n\}$ - set of polynoms with power $\leq n, p^{(t)} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \dots + \alpha_n t^n$ basis: $\{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^n\}$ (to proove: fullness is trivial, linear independence proff goes like this: let $t = 0 \implies \alpha_0 = 0$, then differentiate *n* times and $\forall \alpha_i = 0$).

Taylor expansion of $p(t) \in \mathbb{P}^n = \sum_{k=0}^n = \frac{P^{(k)}(0)}{k!} t^k$ - which is same as basis expansion! meanwhile, Lets try to find $(P^{(*)})^*$ The operation: $\langle id \cdot |_{t=0}, P(t) \rangle := P(0), \langle \frac{d}{dt} \cdot |_{t=0}, p(t) \rangle := P'(0), \dots, \langle \frac{d^n}{dt^n} |_{t=0}, P(t) \rangle := P^{(n)}(0)$ so, basis in covector space $(P^{(*)})^* : \{ id \cdot |_{t=0}, \frac{d}{dt} \cdot |_{t=0}, \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \dots, \dots, \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \}_{\dots}$

Basis transition

we will mark **old basis** as $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and **new basis** is $\{e_{1'}, e_{2'}, \ldots, e_{n'}\}$ and the "**new new**" **basis**: $\{e_{1''}, \ldots, e_{n''}\}$ as you could guess, the "new new new" basis would be with three ticks $\begin{pmatrix} e_{1'}^1, \ldots, e_{n'}^1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$e_{j'} = e_{j'}^i e_i \iff (e_{1'} \dots e_{n'}) = (e_1 \dots e_n) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{1'}^n & \cdots & e_{n'}^n \end{pmatrix} \iff E' = E \cdot C$$
, where *C* is transition

matrix, while det $C \neq 0$. Let's prove that: Let det $C = 0.C = ||C_{1'}, \dots, C_{n'}|| \implies \exists \alpha^{i'} : \alpha^{1'}C_{1'} + \dots + \alpha^{n'}C_{n'} = 0$ $e_{i'} = c_{i'}^i e_i = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \begin{pmatrix} c_{i'}^1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{i'}^n \end{pmatrix} = EC_{i'}, e_{i'} = EC_{i'}$

 $\alpha^{1'} \cdot e_{1'}; E\alpha^{1'} \cdot C_{i'} = E0 = \theta$, that means that basis e' is linearly dependent $\implies \det C \neq 0$

$$e_{i'} = c_{i'}^i e_i \iff E' = EC \implies \exists C^{-1} : E = E'C^{-1} \qquad C = (c_{i'}^i)_{n'}^n; \ C^{-1} =$$

This makes an easy way to denote whether the transition is from old ot new or from new to old basis

$$(e_1, \dots, e_n) = (e_{1'}, \dots, e_{n'}) \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{1'} & \dots & b_n^{1'} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n'} & \dots & b_n^{n'} \end{pmatrix} = E'B \implies \begin{cases} e_i = c_i^{i'} e_{i'} \\ e_{i'} = c_{i'}^{i} e_i \end{cases} \text{- covariant law}$$

Basis coordinates transistion

$$\begin{split} x &= x^{j'} e_{j'}; \ x = x^{j} e_{j} \implies ?x^{j'} = c_{j}^{j'} x^{j}? \\ \text{Proof: } e_{j} &= c_{j}^{j'} e_{j'} \implies x^{j'} e_{j'} = x^{j} c_{j}^{j'} e_{j'} \iff \left[x^{j'} - c_{j}^{j'} x^{j} \right] e_{j'} = \theta \implies x^{j'} = c_{j}^{j'} x^{j} \text{ - countervariant law} \\ \text{Im matrix form:} \\ \begin{cases} X'_{e} &= C^{-1} X_{e} \\ E' &= EC \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Linear operator

Let there be $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$

Linear operator on $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$: $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{L}_2$ is a linear operator IF $\mathcal{A}(\alpha^1 x_1 + \alpha^2 x_2) = \alpha^1 \mathcal{A}(x_1) + \alpha^2 \mathcal{A}(x_2)$ Example: take $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n}, \mathcal{L}_1 = X \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times 1}, Y \in L_2 = \mathbb{K}^{m \times 1}$ $AX \in \mathcal{L}_2$, this is linear operator

kernel and image

ker $A := \{X \in \mathcal{L}_1 : AX_1 = \theta_2 \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ - this is subset (or equal to) L_1 that gets you θ in \mathcal{L}_2 after applying A im $A := \{Y \in \mathcal{L}_2 : \exists k \in L_1, y = A(X)\}$ - this is subset (or equal to) L_2 that you can get from $A(\mathcal{L}_1)$,

Lets prove that ker is subspace of \mathcal{L}_1 , im is subspace of \mathcal{L}_2 : Let $X_1, X_2 \in \ker A : AX_1 = AX_2 = \theta_2 \implies A(\alpha^1 X_1 + \alpha^2 X_2) = \alpha^1 A(X_1) + \alpha^2 A(X_2) = \theta_2 \in \ker A$ and I CANT FUCKING SEE THE PROOF ON THE OTHER PART OF BLACKBOARD FUUUUCK: but the idea is (talke 2 vectors from image, take their linear combination and it appears to be also in that image